Using Visual Questionnaires to Measure Personality Traits

Scientific validity and high user engagement for psychological profiles at scale.
Personality is a strong predictor of real-life outcomes and behaviours. Personality assessment has been employed in numerous fields of academic as well as commercial settings, and enables the prediction of a wide range of behaviours in both the physical world as well as the online space. VisualDNA has developed an innovative online image-based assessment methodology to measure personality. Visual questionnaires offer a fun, interactive and gamified experience, while maintaining the validity of the outcome measures. The methodology was applied to create a portfolio of reliable personality assessment tools. The tests have been applied in multiple commercial settings and have successfully predicted valuable outcomes for businesses. This paper describes the theoretical foundations and validation process of our assessments.

A vast body of psychological research relates real life outcomes to variance in personality traits. Examples range from academic achievement (Chamorro-Premuzic, 2003), job performance (Barrick, Mount, 1991, 2001), subjective well-being (Weiss, Bates, and Luciano, 2008), health and longevity (Kern Friedman, 2011) and a range of other real-life outcomes (Ozer, Benet-Martinez, 2006, Roberts et al., 2007).

VisualDNA is a leading commercial provider of psychological insights at scale. Hundreds of thousands of users have completed our proprietary visual psychological questionnaire. Hundreds of millions of users are then profiled using a behavioural inference algorithm. In this paper we focus on the first part and demonstrate the rigorous methodology we use to construct a fun, interactive and gamified experience, while maintaining the validity of the outcome measures.
Psychologists have studied personality extensively over the past several decades and many theories have been developed regarding its definition and the traits that it encompasses. A major consensus was reached in the 1990s (Digman, 1990) with the Five Factor Model (FFM) exemplified by Costa McCrae’s work (1987). Norman (1963) and Tupes Christal (1961) have been regarded as the original fathers of the FFM which states that individual differences in personality can be categorised into five major traits: Neuroticism, Extraversion, Agreeableness, Conscientiousness and Openness to Experience. These traits, also known as the “Big Five”, have become universally known and are used by researchers and practitioners alike. There is, in fact, substantive evidence for the use of the FFM as a framework to describe individual differences in personality which are generalisable across cultures (Costa McCrae, 1992; McCrae John, 1992). Although different taxonomies and labels exist for each of the five personality dimensions, the model is generally agreed upon and is used as the main classification of personality traits. These traits are defined as personal dispositions that are stable over time and that influence a person’s patterns of behaviours in different situations” (Chamorro-Premuzic, 2007).

The following behaviours are typically associated with the five traits:

- **Openness to experience**: Imaginative, cultured, curious, original, broad-minded, intelligent, and artistically sensitive.
- **Conscientiousness**: Being careful, thorough, responsible, organised, and playful. In addition, hardworking, achievement-oriented, and persevering.
- **Extraversion**: Sociable, gregarious, assertive, talkative, and active.
- **Agreeableness**: Courteous, exible, trusting, good-natured, cooperative, forgiving, soft-hearted, and tolerant.
- **Neuroticism**: Anxious, depressed, angry, embarrassed, emotional, worried, and insecure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Very Inaccurate</th>
<th>Moderately Inaccurate</th>
<th>Neither Accurate Nor Inaccurate</th>
<th>Moderately Inaccurate</th>
<th>Very Inaccurate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Am the life of the party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feel little concern for others</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Am always prepared</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Get stressed out easily</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have a rich vocabulary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t talk a lot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Am interested in people</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leave my belongings around</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Am relaxed most of the time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have difficulty understanding abstract ideas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 1. Example of IPIP questionnaire*  

1 Taken from http://ipip.ori.org/New_IPIP-50-item-scale.htm
One of the established scales that measure the “Big Five” personality dimensions is the International Personality Item Pool (IPIP) | Five Factor Model (Goldberg, 1999). The IPIP items are presented as brief and clear behavioral statements preceded by active verbs. The self-applicability of each statement is rated on a 5-points Likert scale which records the level of agreement or disagreement with a series of statements.

Figure 1 provides an extract from the IPIP test. It illustrates some of the challenges from a UX perspective: it is lengthy, repetitive and very “dry”. VisualDNA has developed an alternative way to measure the “Big Five” traits which offers a fun, interactive and gamified experience to the user. This is accomplished by replacing the textual based responses with vivid images - compare for example Figure 1 with Figure 2 (an example of a VisualDNA question). This enables us to obtain highly positive user engagement; for example, half of our traffic is viral, and completion rates range from 70 - 85%. The use of image-based response options has advantages beyond user experience. Section 2 reviews both the advantages and challenges of constructing an image-based questionnaire. Section 3 provides details regarding our methodology. In Section 4 we provide empirical evidence as to the accuracy of the “Big Five” questionnaire. We conclude in Section 4.

Figure 2. Prototypical question in a VisualDNA quiz
2. Using images in psychometric assessment

VisualDNA has developed a visual quiz that uses images (visual response options) in order to measure personality. The potential benefits of using visual questionnaires to measure personality traits in psychometric assessment are summarised below.

- The use of visual tests in psychometric assessment is less subject to 'social desirability bias' because it makes it more difficult for the respondent to distinguish between correct and incorrect answers in comparison to traditional Likert scales. With regard to people's reports about themselves, social desirability bias is generally defined as providing responses that are perceived as more acceptable than the response that the respondent would have made under neutral conditions. This response bias occurs mainly for items or questions that deal with personally or socially sensitive content, and results from respondents trying to answer questions as a 'good person' rather than in a way that reveals what they actually believe or feel. Social desirability interferes with the interpretation of tendencies as well, it's one of the most common sources of bias affecting the validity of experimental and survey findings.

- The use of images in psychometric testing has the potential to convey more complex ideas, concepts, and emotions, and to move the respondent to a deeper understanding than is possible through words alone. Furthermore, the use of images involves overcoming many barriers to communication that may lead to the message becoming distorted, or remaining clear and concise.

- The use of visual tests requires significantly less effort and attention than traditional psychometric tests, resulting in a reduction of the effect of boredom and fatigue. Traditional psychometric tests are based on very long, repetitive, text-based questionnaires that create a barrier for respondents who are not psychologically minded. Thus, the completion rate of visual-based tests is potentially much higher than the completion rate of text-based tests. Overall, this encourages greater engagement with questions.

As can be understood from the above, the potential benefits involving the use of images to measure personality traits are remarkable and open the door to further opportunities. However, several difficulties and challenges arise in the process of using images in psychometric assessment.

- It is very difficult to maintain uni-dimensionality across response options. In visual-based tests, it is necessary to control the adjustments of the images such as color, contrast or brightness, as well as any extraneous connotations likely to be evoked by images. The use of images in psychometric assessment is possibly subject to the same difficulties and confusions in scoring as other 'forced-choice' scales.

- The use of forced-choice formats has been criticised on the grounds that respondents are provided with only a limited number of response alternatives to choose from (Harrigan, 2008). Unlike Likert scaling, which is a bipolar scaling method, measuring either positive or negative responses to statements with a forced-choice scale means the opposition of meaning between supposedly opposite items cannot be examined. It has to be assumed.
3. Quiz development process

Each question in our quiz is designed to facilitate understanding of a distinct personality trait, and is usually composed of a range of possible answers.

For example, the question: “How much energy do you have after meeting new people?” was designed to measure Extraversion, with illustrations of batteries merely replacing the standard Likert scale (see Figure 3).

![Figure 3. Example for a question in which we use a visual metaphor to represent scale.](image)

However, in the next example: “In general, how do you think people treat others?” the use of images is more sophisticated, and enables us to include a variety of positive and negative feelings portrayed through the valence of the images, while enhancing the ease of identification with the chosen answer (see Figure 4).

![Figure 4. Example for an image based question](image)

In practice, we follow an iterative process to come up with the final set of questions. The process typically involves the following steps:

1. **The Qualitative Psychology team** researches various behaviours which are known to be linked with the relevant traits. Together with the Creative team, the set of behaviours are transformed into candidate questions and answers. Only questions which are both relevant and suitable for image based representation are selected to the next step.

2. **The Creative team** follows with an image research step in which answers are replaced with corresponding images. Some questions, for which suitable images are not found are dropped.

3. The final stage involves a panel test, run by our Quantitative Psychology team, in which both the clarity of the images and, more importantly, the validity of our scores are tested.

We iterate these steps until the quality of our scores is sufficiently high. In the case of the “Big Five” quiz we ended up with 32 image-based questions. It is of special interest to explain how we derive and validate our scores. As mentioned in Section 2 it is not trivial to allocate scores to image-based questionnaires using traditional methods.

We decided to adopt a computational method instead. In the panel (step 3) we let people answer both our novel visual quiz as well as a standard one. We then use linear regression to assign, algorithmically, appropriate weights for the different images such that the correlation between our score and the standard score is maximised.

We provide detailed explanation of this in the next section.
A study was conducted to measure the correlation of our new quiz comprised of 32 image questions, with an established scale of the Big Five personality dimensions taken from the International Personality Item Pool (IPIP, Goldberg, 1992, Goldberg, 1999; Goldberg, Johnson, Eber, Hogan, Ashton, Cloninger Gough, 2006). This method was undertaken to ensure the convergent validity of our scale. Correlations of 0.4 - 0.7 imply a strong convergent validity, while correlations above 0.7 signify very strong convergence (Chamorro-Premuzic Ahmetoglu, 2013), and are rarely achieved even in test-retest reliability tests of personality scales.

A demographically balanced sample of 1,000 paid participants was recruited for the study through a third-party market research company (see Table 1 for a breakdown). After identifying missing data and filtering out the incomplete/invalid cases, data was analysed from 980 participants. Each of the participants completed VisualDNA’s image-based quiz as well as the IPIP one.

Since each respondent completed both tests, we could treat the problem of computing a scale for VisualDNA quiz as a supervised learning problem where the objective is to find ‘weights’ for different images such that the correlation with the IPIP scale is maximised.

Regression models were trained with 10-fold cross validation. The reported correlations (Table 2) were calculated based on predicted scores, and therefore provide a conservative measure in comparison to academic literature standards. Figure 5 gives the equivalent plots of the actual IPIP scores and the predicted ones.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** Age and Gender Breakdown of Respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Openness</td>
<td>.601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conscientiousness</td>
<td>.622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraversion</td>
<td>.747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agreeableness</td>
<td>.561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroticism</td>
<td>.711</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Correlation between VisualDNA and IPIP scale. In all cases the p-value <0.01
5. Conclusions

The Big 5 dimensions of personality is an established way of measuring personality traits, with a vast amount of studies demonstrating a direct relation to real life outcome. VisualDNA developed an alternative way of measuring the “Big 5” dimensions of personality which offers a fun, interactive and gamified experience - crucial in commercial settings. A large-scale validation test was carried out according to academic and industry standard verification protocols, which largely concluded that VisualDNA’s image-based assessments are a robust measure of personality.

We use this quiz to provide a unique experience to our users gaining robust insight into their personalities. As the barrier of entry to our quizzes is much lower than standard questionnaires, we are able to provide these insights to a considerably larger number of users.

In a subsequent paper we will demonstrate how we use this quiz as a seed to a much larger study which enables us to infer the personality traits of millions of users who did not necessarily complete this quiz.

Figure 5. Actual score computed from standard IPIP questionnaire versus predicted score computed from visual quiz for each of the Big Five personality traits. The red line indicates perfect linear correlation.


About VisualDNA

VisualDNA brings together psychology and big data to deliver new levels of customer understanding.

VisualDNA was started in 2008 to change communication between people for the better. By combining the approaches of data scientists, psychologists, creatives and engineers, we have built and developed insightful personality quizzes – which over 40m people have voluntarily taken – and found new, innovative and pioneering ways to scale, deploy, and leverage the resulting data so we can help to make a difference.

With products firmly established in the consumer and marketing space, VisualDNA turned its data to the financial services realm, undertaking an experimental project to uncover if a link exists between a person’s psychographic profile and their credit intent. In other words, we wanted to determine if personality type impacts and dictates financial behaviour. The result: it does.

A Credit & Risk business unit - that’s now nearly 50 strong - was established, and pulses with the potential to change current credit practice as we know it.

Via VisualDNA’s usual brand of engaging, fun and insightful personality quizzes, banks, institutions and lenders in several world markets now refer credit applicants to a new means of scoring that’s scientifically sound, safe and even less risk than usual. In determining a candidate’s personality traits, and thus their suitability for credit, VisualDNA is converting staunch declines into accepts on the basis of who people are, not what they can prove.

For lenders, basing decisions on the strength of personality means more accepts, and the opportunity to reach more customers.

For the world’s 2.5bn unbanked customers with no, thin, or bad credit history - and many more ‘underbanked’ who can’t access the credit they need or deserve - lending on the basis of personality is a lifeline capable of improving lives, communities and completely redressing the financial status quo.

For more information, please visit www.visualdna.com/financial-solutions or call +44 20 7734 7033

Follow us on Twitter @VisualDNA